Conflict and Health

Research

Conflict in the Indian Kashmir Valley II: psychosocial impact Kaz de Jong^{*1}, Saskia van de Kam¹, Nathan Ford^{1,2}, Kamalini Lokuge¹, Silke Fromm¹, Renate van Galen¹, Brigg Reilley¹ and Rolf Kleber³

Address. Medecins Sans Frontières, Plantage Middenlaars 14, 1018 DD Amsterdam; the Netherlands, "Laoidty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, Canada and "Department of Clinical Psychology, Utrecht University, the Netherlands."

Email: Kaz de Jong* - kaz de jong@amsterdam msf oŋ; Saskia van de Kam - saskia vanderkam@amsterdam msf oŋ; Nathan Ford - nathan ford@london msf oŋ; Kamalini Lokojge - kaz de jong@amsterdam msf oŋ; Silke Fromm - kaz de jong@amsterdam msf.oŋ; Renate van Galen - kaz de jong@amsterdam msf oŋ; Brigg Reilley - kaz de jong@amsterdam msf.oŋ; Rolf Kleber - kaz de jong@amsterdam.msf.org

· Corresponding author

Published: 14 October 2008

Conflig and Health 2008, 2:11 doi:10.1186/1752-1505-2-11

Received: 6 October 2008 Accepted: 14 October 2008

90

This article is available from: http://www.conflictandhealth.com/content/2/1/11 02 2008 de Jong et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: India and Pakistan have disputed ownership of the Kashmir Valley region for many years, resulting in high levels of exposure to violence among the civilian population of Kashmir (India). A survey was done as part of routine programme evaluation to assess confrontation with violence and its consequences on mental health, health service usage, and socio-economic functioning.

Methods: We undertook a two-stage cluster household survey in two districts of Kashmir (India) using questionnaires adapted from other conflict areas. Analysis was stratified for gender.

Results: Over one-third of respondents (n = 510) were found to have symptoms of psychological distress (33.3%, CI: 28.3–38.4); women scoring significantly higher (OR 2.5; CI: 1.7–3.6). A third of respondents had contemplated suicide (33.3%, CI: 28.3–38.4). Feelings of insecurity were associated with higher levels of psychological distress for both genders (males: OR 2.4, CI: 1.3–4.4; females: OR 1.9, CI: 1.1–3.3). Among males, violation of modesty. (OR 3.3, CI: 1.6–6.8), forced displacement, (OR 3.5, CI 1.7–7.1), and physical disability resulting from violence (OR 2.7, CI: 1.2–5.9) were associated with greater levels of psychological distress; for women, risk factors for psychological distress included dependency on others for daily living (OR 2.4, CI: 1.3–4.8), the witnessing of killing (OR 1.9, CI: 1.1–3.4), and torture (OR 2.1, CI: 1.2–3.7). Self-rated poor health (male: OR 4.4, CI: 2.4–8.1; female: OR 3.4, CI: 2.0–5.8) and being unable to work (male: OR 6.7, CI: 3.5–13.0; female: OR 2.6, CI: 1.5–4.4) were associated with mental distress.

Conclusion: The ongoing conflict exacts a huge toll on the communities' mental well-being. We found high levels of psychological distress that impacts on daily life and places a burden on the health system. Ongoing feelings of personal vulnerability (not feeling safe) was associated with high levels of psychological distress. Community mental health programmes should be considered as a way reduce the pressure on the health system and improve socio-economic functioning of those suffering from mental health problems.

Central

14

Page 1 of 8 (page number not for citation purposes)

Conflict and Health 2008, 2 11

Background

The Partition of India in 1947 was the start of a long history of dispute between India and Pakistan for control of Kashmir, which today remains divided into three parts governed by India, Pakistan and China. Over the last 20 years, a liberation struggle between India and Kashmiri militants has led to at least 20,000 deaths and 4,000 disappearances in the Indian part of Kashmir [1].

A community survey done by Médecins Sans Frontières in 2005 found high levels of ongoing violence across the region, with civilians caught in the middle. The majority of people surveyed stated having been exposed to crossfire (86%) and round-up raids (83%). High numbers of people reported being subjected to maltreatment (44%), forced labour (33%), kidnapping (17%), torture (13%) and sexual violence (12%). [2]

Exposure to violence has potentially important implications for mental health [3]. This paper presents the findings of the community assessment survey done by Médecins Sans Frontières in 2005. The study, which was done to inform program planning, assessed the mental health and socio-economic impact of the ongoing violence, and the sources of support.

Methods

The survey was conducted in mid-2005 in the Indian part of Kashmir (Kupwara and Badgam, totalling 101 villages and a combined population 145,000 people). The inchodology is described in detail elsewhere [2] Buelly, sam, ple size calculation assumed a prevalence of traumarelated psychological problems of 20% [4]; using a precision of 5% (confidence interval 95%) and a design effect of 2, the minimum sample size was estimated at 492. A pro-stage cluster sampling design was used to cover 30 vilages (randomly selected), resulted in 17 households per village. Within the household participants aged \geq 18 years were selected randomly. Informed consent was attained tor all participants and MSFs independent Ethical Review Board granted ethical approval.

Instruments

The overall survey questionnaire assessed baseline demographics, confrontation with violence (results presented elsewhere [2]), mental health, health service usage, socioeconomic functioning and sources of support. Mental health was assessed using a Self-Reporting Questionnaire (SRQ), with a reference period of 30 days preceding the survey. The SRQ is an instrument developed by the World (fealth Organization (WHO) to measure general psychological distress, especially in developing countries. It has good validity and reftability for adults (-15 years) [5], and can be used both as a self- or interviewer-administrated questionnaire. It consists of 20 closed questions covering expression of distress, the total score corresponding to the sum of positive responses. Various studies have validated the use of the SRQ in India [6–9]. Currently a cut off score of 11 or 12 is accepted [10] although this has been critiqued as being too high [11]. In our study we used a conservative cut-off score of 12, meaning those respondents scoring \geq 12 are considered to be suffering from psychological distress.

Four categories of closed questions were applied to establish use of health services (categories: never, once; 2–3 times; 4+) and medications (Categories: never, 1–3 times; 4–6 times; 7+). Closed questions were also used to assess coping mechanisms for dealing with stress. The composition of categories for 'consequences of violence' and 'sources of support' was done with input from national staff.

To establish individual socio-economic functioning in relation to health during the past thirty days the 11-section of the WHO-Disability Assessment Schedule-II (WHO-DAS-II) was used. This tool has good internal, convergent validity and good sensitivity for change [12].

The survey was forwarded and back translated from Figlish to Urdu and phonetic Kashmiri and piloted prior to full implementation

Analysis

Data entry was standardised and checked by supervisors, entered, into FXCH1 and analysed in FPIINFO 2002 Because males and females differed significantly in the number of confrontations with violence [2], we used univariate analysis to stratify for gender to determine relationships between psychological distress (SRQ > 12) and demographic details, living circumstances, confrontations with violence (witnessing, self-experiencing), health outcomes (physical symptoms, health service use), and socioeconomic functioning. We excluded variables such as 'tor ture while being detained/held hostage' as these responses relate to a sub-sample of those surveyed. We also excluded exposure to violence from this analysis because the proximity to the violence way-not defined in detail

A njultivariate statistical model was constructed to investigate relationships between mental health (SRQ ≥ 12) and the above-mentioned variables. We used a logistic regression model including variables that were significant in the univariate analysis (p < 0.05) with backward elimination. In our model we expected each type of event conferring an additional risk over and above any other event experienced. This is in accordance with studies reporting exposure to cumulative traumatic events as tisk factor for , the development of PTSD [13,14].

n .

Results

540 of 548 (9.3%) interviews were completed. Reasons for refusal to participate (25) and stopping the uncrview (13) included: lack of time, distrust, and being emotionally upset. The average age of respondents was 37.7 years (range 17-90) with an equal gender distribution (males \leq 54%; 270; p > 0.05). Demographics are described in detail elsewhere, [2]

Mental health status

Psychological distress was mostly expressed through symptoms such as nervousness, tiredness, being easily rightened and headache (Table 1). The prevalence of suiciplal ideation is striking: one-third of those surveyed had had thoughts of ending then life in the past 40 days. Over a third of respondents were categorized as suffering from psychological distress (SRQ \geq 12) using the Indian vilidated SRQ (33.3%, 170; CI: 28.3–38.4). The design effect for the SRQ was 1.4. Females scored significantly higher (43.8% vs. 24.1%, OR 2.5; CI: 1.7–3.6; p < 0.001).

Associations between psychological distress (SRQ ≥ 12) and violence, health, socio-economic and sources of support

Univariate analysis of violence and psychological distress (SRQ ≥ 12) Feelings of personal insecurity were significantly associated with psychological distress (SRQ ≥ 12) for both males and females (Table 2). Psychological distress among males was significantly (p < 0.01) associated with all self-experiences (defined as 'ever happened to you') and most consequences of violence. Psychological distress among females was significantly (p < 0.01) associated, with witnessing events (except hearing about/witnessing rape), as well as the self-experience of some events (maltreatment, arrested/kidnapped) and feelings of lack of safety and independence.

Multivariate analysis of mental health (SRQ ≥ 12) and violence For both genders, not feeling safe is associated with at least twice the odds of suffering from psychological distress (Table 3). For males, violation of modesty, forced displacement, and disability were all associated with a significantly increased likelihood (three times the odds) of suffering from psychological distress. For women, the witnessing of people being killed or fortured or dependency on outside assistance doubled the odds of suffering psychological distress.

Associations between psychological distress (SRQ \geq 12), health and socio economic outcomes

The majority of respondents (63.9%, 326) had recently visited a health postor clinic, nearly half had visited a health facility more than once (46, 3%, 235) in the past 30 days Overall, nearly half (49.6%, 253) of respondents rated the health facilities as poor. Women more frequently rated their physical health as bad or very bad (male: 24.1% vs. female: 36.3%, OR 1.8; CI 1.2-2.6; p.e. 0.005), and visited the health facilities more than men (male: 40.0% vs. female: 54.7%, OR 1.8; CI: 1.3-2.6; p = 0.005). The number of women who had been on medication for six or more days was significantly higher than men (male: 30.7% vs. female: 46.0%, OR 1.9; Cl: 1.3-2.8; p < 0.001). A high level of psychological distress (SRQ \ge 12) was significantly (p < 0.01) associated with poor or very poor self-rated health for both males (OR 4.4) and females (OR 3.4). For males this was also associated with a higher likelihood of visiting the clinic two times or more (Table 4). For both males and females, high psychological distress was also associated with a higher likelihood of being unable to or having to cut back on work or performance of daily activities.

Coping mechanisms

The most common ways of coping were withdrawal (isolation, not talking to people) and aggression (Table 5). Religion was also reported as a helpful source of support.

Discussion

The data presented in this article were gathered to inform MSF's programme to provide mental health support in

. White it went reporting questioning	
1. CDO 30	VEC

Table 1: Self reporting questionnaire 20 (n = 510)

Items SRQ 20	YES		Items SRQ 20	TES
Do you often have headaches?	53.6% (272)	11	Do you find it difficult to enjoy your daily activities?	50.0% (255)
Is your appetite poor?	40.8% (208)	12	Do you find it difficult to make a decision?	39.6% (202)
Do you have sleep disturbances?	45.5% (232)	13	Is your daily work suffering?	51.8% (264)
Are you easily frightened?	55.9% (285)	14	Do you feel you are usefully contributing in life?*	31.0% (158)
Do you feel nervous, tense, or worried?	62.7% (320)	15	Have you lost interest in things?	45.1% (230)
Do your hands tremble?	50.2% (256)	16	Do you feel that you are a worthless person?	37.8% (193)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25.1% (128)	17.	Have you thought about ending your life?	33.9% (173)
	50.2% (256)	18	Do you feel tired all the time?	62.5% (319)
	50.0% (255)	. 19	Do you have uncomfortable feelings in your stomach?	39 8%. (203)
Do you cry more than usual?	45.1% (230)	20	Are you easily tired?	66.7% (340)
	Do you often have headaches? Is your appetite poor? Do you have sleep disturbances? Are you easily frightened? Do you feel nervous, tense, or worried? Do your hands tremble? Is your digestion poor? Do you have trouble thinking clearly? Do you heel unhappy?	Do you often have headaches?53.6% (272)Is your appetite poor?40.8% (208)Do you have sleep disturbances?45.5% (212)Are you easily frightened?55.9% (285)Do you feel nervous, tense, or worried?62.7% (320)Do your hands tremble?50.2% (256)Is your digestion poor?25.1% (128)Do you have trouble thinking clearly?50.2% (256)Do you feel unhappy?50.0% (255)	Do you often have headaches? \$3.6% (272) 11 Is your appetite poor? 40.8% (208) 12 Do you have sleep disturbances? 45.5% (232) 13 Are you easily frightened? 55.9% (285) 14 Do your have stremble? 50.2% (256) 16 Is your digestion poor? 25.1% (128) 17 Do you have trouble thinking clearly? 50.2% (256) 18 Do you feel unhappy? 50.0% (255) 19	Do you often have headaches? 53.6% (272) 11 Do you find it difficult to enjoy your daily activities? Is your appetite poor? 40.8% (208) 12 Do you find it difficult to make a decision? Do you have sleep disturbances? 45.5% (212) 13 Is your daily work suffering? Are you easily frightened? 55.9% (285) 14 Do you fiel you are usefully contributing in life?* Do you feel nervous, tense, or worried? 62.7% (320) 15 Have you lost interest in things? Do your digestion poor? 25.1% (128) 17 Have you lost index endities person? Is your digestion poor? 25.1% (128) 17 Have you fiel time and undit ending your life? Do you have trouble thinking clearly? 50.2% (256) 18 Do you feel time all the time? Do you feel unhappy? 50.0% (255) 19 Do you have uncomfortable feelings in your stomach?

. This question was changed from the original SRQ 20 questionnaire (Are you unable to play a useful part in life?)

. In the current format the No-answer was used as sign of psychological distress).

Conflict and Health 2008, 2 11

http://www.conflictandhealth.com/content/2/1/11

.

Table 2: Univariate analysis of cases (SRQ = 12) with non-cases on demographic variables, living circumstances, confrontations with viplence (self-experience, witnessing), and personal consequences stratified by gender (n' = 510)

Yariable .	SRQ 11 Males n = 170				SIQ	- 111	emales n	- 140	SILQ .	- 510		
	N	OR	CI	P	N	OR	CI	P	N	OR*	СІ	ры
13-marchine												
Demographics Marital status												
-Not married	11											
-Married	65 203	1	0.8-3.6	0.178	60 176	1	1.0-3.3	0.865	125	1	1.1-2.8	0.023
Living	203	1.7	0.0-3.0	0.178	176	1.0	1.0-3.3	0.865	3/9	1.0	1.1-2.0	0.023
Circumstances												
Currently Feeling Safe												
-Always/most	144	1			120	1			264	1		
-Occasionally/never	126	2.3 **	1.3-4.1	0.006	118	2.0 *	1.2-3.3	0.014	224	2.1**	1.4-3.1	0.000
Dependency for Living												
-Self supportive, nearly	194	1			185	1			379	1		
Highly, total dependant	74	1.6	0930	0.147	53	74++	1146	0.007	127	20**	13.31	0 002
Having Two meals a day												
-Always, sometimes	258	1	1.1 159		229				487	1		
-Rarely, never	9	41		0 068	7	18	0481	0.352 #	16	28	1076	0.07
Witnessing												
Socing wounded people												
-No	73	1			115	1			188	1		
-Yes	197	2.1*	11 4.5	0.043	125	1.8*	10 3.1	0 0 3 0	322	2.0**	1.3 3.0	0 002
Witnessed people being arrested												
Na	11	1			AL	1		0.010	175	1		
-Yes	226	2.8*	1.1.7.7	0.044	159	2.0*	1.2 3.6	0018	385	2.3**	1.4 3.7	0.001
Witnessed people being killed	in	1			155	1			306			
-No -Yes	151	1.6	0.9 2.8	0.123	85	2.0*	1.6 3.4	0.018	204	1.8**	1226	0 004
Witness people being tortured	117	1.0	0.7 2.0	0.125	05	20	1.0 3.4	0010	204	1.0	12 20	0004
-No	68	1			101				169	1		
-Yes	202	1.7	0.9 3.4	0.179	139	23**	1.4 4.0	0.003	341	2.1**	1.3 3.1	0.001
Witnessed people being malureated/		•	0.7 5.1	0.117				0.005	5		1.5 5.1	0.001
molested												
-No	46	1			90				136	1		
-Yes	224	1.6	07 38	0.301	150	21*	12 16	0016	374	1 9++	1211	0.005
Heard about cases of rape			i									
-No	67	1			117	1			184	1		
-Yes	203	22	10 45	0.054	123	10	0616	0958	126	11	09 20	0 256
Witnessed rape												
-No	223	1			219	1			442	1		
-Yes	47	18	0915	0134	51	. 18	07 45	0 269	68	1.8*	10 11	0.045
Self-experienced												
Being maltreated									201			
-No	110		13.45	0.007	175	1		0.033	285	2 2++	1433	<0.001
-Yes	160	2 4**	1.3 4.5	0.007	65	2.04	1135	0 0 3 2	225	11.	14.3.3	-0001
Being forced to do labour	144	1			194	1			118			
-No	126		1.4-4.4	0.002	46	1.4	0.1 2.1	0.196	172	1 9++	1.3.2.9	0 003
-Yes Being forced housing any of the parties	120	2.5	1.1.1.1.1	0.001	10		0.7 2.7	0.370			1.5.2.7	0005
-No	203			•	213	1			426	1		
-Yes	67	2.4**	1.3 4.3	0.008	27	0.1	0.3 1.7	0 621	94	1.53*	0 95 2.5	0.100
licing arrested/kidnapped			1.5 1.5	0.000			0.0 1.1		424			
-No	195				229				86	1		
-Yes	15	1)+++	1858	- 0 001		614	1 1 10 0)	0.010		1 6++	21.6
<0.000												
Modesty being violated												
-No	224				227				451	I		
- Yes	46	4 2+++	2181	<0.000	17	22	07 69	01416	\$ 59	354	20.62	• 0 00
Being injured because of conflict					;				The second			
-Not injured	248	3 1			234	1 1			484	1		
-Injured	22	4.3 **	1.8-10.5	0.002	6'	2.7	0.5-14.9	0.452	28	3.8**	1.7 8.5	0.001

· Page 4 of 8

11

.

(page number not for citation purposes)

Table 2: Univariate analysis of cases (SRQ = 12) with non-cases on demographic variables, living circumstances, confrontations with violence (self-experience, witnessing), and personal consequences stratified by gender (n = 510) (*Continued*)

Consequences of v	iolence		1										
Moving voluntarily for	r safety teasons												
-No		131	1	· · · ·		143	1			274	1		
-Yes		139	2.3 ** .	13.4.1	0.007	97	1.8*	1.0 3.0	0.048	236	2.0**	1329	<0.000
forced to move (bein	ng displaced)												
No		221	1			199	1			420	1		
Yes		48	4.2***	2282	<0 000	40	2.0	10 3.9	0 0 7 5	88	29"	18 46	<0.000
Being disabled					•								
-No		232	1			228	1			460	1		
-Yes		38	3.9***	1.9 80	<0.000	10	3.2	08.12.7	0.079	48	3.1	2.0 71	<0.000
Having lost house													
-No		253	1			225	1			478			
-Yes		17	1.3	0.4 3.9	0.404	13	1.6	05 4.9	0 592	30	1.5	0731	0 468
Having lost possessio	ns					1							
-No		197	1			183	1			380	1		
Yes		73	2.6**	1.4 4.5	0.002	57	1.6	09 3.0	0 1417	130	21	1331	0.001

i P Chi square Yates corrected unless indicated differently

i Fisher exact test

ii OR adjusted for gender

jiii P Mantel Heinzel Chi square corrected unless indicated differently

* Signifiant P < 0.05

** Significant P < 0.01

*** Significant P < 0.001

¿ Chi-square for differing Odds Ratios by gender is significant (p = 0.028) suggesting interaction

Kashmir. Using the SRQ (a tool that has been validated in other Indian studies [6-10]) we found the population had been exposed to high levels of violence [2] which resulted in one third of the respondents suffering from psychological distress and considering suicide. For both genders, currently not feeling safe was associated with psychological distress. For males 'violation of modesty', displacement, and disability were associated with psychological distress while risk factors for females included witnessing killing and torture. Respondents with high psychological distress rated their own health and socio economic functioning as poor. The most common coping mechanism was withdrawal.

Overall, one-third of respondents reported psychological distress. This compares to a prevalence of 36% found in a study done in among Afghan women in a refugee camp [15] using the same instrument and similar cutoff score, but differs substantially from another SRQ study done in a non-conflict area in India [16] where 18% prevalence of psychological distress was found among low-incomé urban women, using a relatively low cut-off score (7/8). (Using this lower cut-off would have given a prevalence of psychological distress of 71.4%). The contextual difference in these studies – exposure to chronic violence as compared to 'common' stressors of daily life for women in low urban settings – may account for this difference.

The Self Reporting Questionnaire (SRQ) showed that a third of respondents had contemplated suicide. Suicidal thoughts are common for depressive disorders [17] but do not always lead to a suicide attempt. Our findings are in line with a previous study that reported high suicide rates in this region [18]. A high prevalence of suicidal thoughts is more often reported among populations suffering from chronic violence, with a similar prevalence (33%, 96, n = 297) reported in a population of Afghan refugee women in Pakistan using the same questionnaire (SRQ).

In our study women had significantly higher psychological distress than man. This is in line with other studies showing women suffering more from anxiety disorders than men after confrontation with violence [20]. Feeling safe was found in other studies to be an important precondition for being able to deal with adverse traumatic experiences [21,22], and this was also found in our study.

For males, the most important risk factors for developing psychological distress were 'violation of modesty', displacement and disability. It is possible that these experiences are the most distressing because they interfere with the cultural values and roles of males in Kashmir society: upholding their dignity and being able to protect and feed their families. Those who self-experienced 'violation of modesty' had a threefold chance of suffering from psychological distress (p = 0.001). 'Violation of modesty' is regarded as very degrading and in the few studies on male sexual violence is associated with multiple perpetuators and high levels of physical beating [23,24], which can further contribute to psychological distress Table 3: Significant multivariate associations between psychological distenss (SRQ \geq 12) and demographic variables, violent incidents (self-experience, witnessing), and personal consequences by gender (h = 510)

	Он	CI	P value
MALE SRQ 2 12			
Currently not feeling safe			
No	1		
Yes	2.4**	1.3 4.4	0.007
Modesty being violated			
No	1		
Yes	3.3**	16 68	0 001
Being forced to move			
No	.1		
	3 5+++	1771	<0.001
Being disabled			
No	1		
Yes	2.1+	1259	0015
FEMALE SRQ > 12			
Currently not leeling safe			i
No	1		
Yes	194	11 11	0 0 20
Being dependent for daily living			
No	1		
Yes	2 4 **	1148	0 001
Witnessed people being killed			!
Nu	1		•
Yes	1.9*	11 34	0.029
Witnessed people being tortured			
Nu	1		
Yes	21**	12.37	0 008

Multi logistic regression

* Signifiant P < 0.05

++ Significant P < 0.01

*** Significant P < 0.001

For women most psychological distress was associated with feelings of powerlessness – dependency on others for daily living, and witnessing killing and totture. Women have lower confrontations with violence, which can be partly explained by their being largely confined to the home [2]. The significant association of witnessing and psychological distress among females may relate to feelings of helplessness and guilt caused by the witnessing may be more traumatic than experiencing the violence themselves.

Both males and lemales with high levels of psychological diatress rated their own health as much poorer compared to those who did not have high levels of psychological distress (male: OR 4.4) female. OR 3.4). Non-specific health complaints have been associated with (traumatic) stress in other studies [25-27]. It is also possible that people do not understand the relationship between physical symptoms and mental stress [28] or have difficulty to articulate their emotional status and use physical symptoms to atticulate mental distress [29]. High psychological distress among males was significantly associated with visiting health services more frequently. Increased use of medical services by those suffering from traumatic-stress related problems are common [30, 31], with up to a 25% increase in number of visits to health care facilities reported in other studies [32] 34]. We found this relationship in our survey for males, but not for females. This may be explained by the fact that for both cultural and security reasons females depend on male escorts in order to access health services, restricting their movements.

In our population, high psychological distress is associated with substantially increased likelihood of socio-economic dystunction, and this has been reported in both Western [35,36] and Asian [15] contexts. Socio-economic dystunction can have broad implications, for example by reducing capacity of females to give care to the children or for males to generate income (according to traditional toles)

The most common coping mechanisms such as withdrawal (self isolation, stop speaking) and aggression may also be symptomatic of depression and/or anxiety disorder (including post traumatic stress disorder, PISD). Religion and family assistance are mentioned less frequently as sources of support. This is in contrast to a study conducted in Afghanistan that showed religion and reading the Koran as the two main coping mechanisms for two being confronted with violence [15].

Potential limitations

General methodological limitations, including sampling methodology, retrospective study design, and terminology, have been discussed previously [2]. There are, in addition, a number of potential limitations related to this specific analysis. First, as this is a cross sectional survey, no;causal inferences between violence and mental health can be conclusively made. Second, individual respondents may have implicitly used the presence of mental health symptoms as a deciding factor for whether they have experienced a traumatic event in case of doubt (i.e. recall bias [37]). We consider this as unlikely as we asked respondents to recall violent events but did not ask them to identify which events were traumatic. Finally, we used the SRQ to avoid labelling populations with a psychiatric diagnosis, but using a self reporting questionnaire has obvious limitations. A comparative study in India of five questionnaites showed good internal consistency and a high discriminating ability with the SRQ having the best results [9], but in comparison to clinical interview, questionnaires only showed strong positive predictive value when a considerable compromise on sensitivity was made. It was concluded that the choice of an optimum cut-off score (to balance sensitivity and positive predictive Table 4: Associations between psychological distress (SRQ ==11) and health outcomes, socio economic outcomes by gender (n = 510)

			Males		Females				
	. 0	OR	CI	P value	n	OR	CI	P value	
Health Outcomes									
Self rated health bad or very bad									
SRQ < 12	1	1							
SRQ - 12	65	4 4**	14 81	· 0 0001	87	1 4**	20.58	• 0 0001	
Visited health chines 2 times									
SRQ < 12 .		1				1			
\KQ .> 1)	106	1 7**	18.58	-0.0001	129	14	0914	0166	
Medicine use > 6 days		•							
SRO < 12		1				1			
SRQ 212	81	1.8	1.0 3.1	0.006	1.06	1.5	0.9 2.6	0.11	
Socio-economic Outcomes									
Unable to work/daily activities .: 4 days									
SRQ < 12		1	1			1			
SRQ 212	117	6.1**	35 13.0	-0.0001	124	2.6**	15 44	<0.001	
Cut back/reduce work or daily activities ≥ 4 days			;						
SRQ < 12		1	-			1			
SRQ - 12	170	4 1**	7776	.00001	125	4 5++	26 80	• 0 001	

P. Chi square Yates corrected unless indicated differently.
y Fisher exact test
* Significant P < 0.05
** Significant P < 0.01
** Significant P < 0.01

value) should be adapted to individual settings, and recommend a higher cut-off score for resource-limited primary care settings [9]. We used a high cut off score of 12, in line with this recommendation. But in the absence of clinical interview no detailed analysis of the mental health status is possible.

In the context of predominantly Urdu speaking population we considered, but did not use, cut off scores from other Urdu speaking cultures such as in Pakistan. A metaapalysis of psychiatric rating scales in Urdu [38] concluded that only a small number of instruments (including SRQ) were sufficiently evaluated. The same review concluded that for the SRQ no cross-culturally validated gold standard was used, cut-offs varied considerably, as did sensitivity (78–93%) and specificity (77–85%). We

Table 5: Overview support mechanism used by the participants (up to three answers possible, n = 510).

Sources of support	Frequency
solation	327 (64.1%)
Aggressive behaviour	235 (46.1%)
Praying/meditation	201 (19 8%)
Stop speaking to people	188 (36.9%)
Drug and alcohol use	186 (36.5%)
Talking to others	117 (22.9%)
Keeping busy	106 (20.8%)
Seeking support from family	63 (12.4%)
Other	44 (1) 6%)

consider the IndIan validation studies [9] as more appropriate because they used clinical interview as gold standard

Conclusion

The high levels of violence confronted by the Kashiniri population have resulted in high prevalence (3.9%) of mental health problems. Poor self rated health and likelihood of poor socio-economic functioning were associated with high levels of psychological distress. Mental health problems in this context of chronic violence should receive full attention through the provision of appropriate community-based services that would improve access to care and reduce the burden on the health system.

Conflicts of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Authors' contributions

KJ designed and co-ordinated the study and wrote the first diaft of the paper. NF supported the conceptual framing of the findings, assisted with the analysis, and led subsequent drafts. SK and KL provided statistical support for the design and analysis, and helped with the writing of the paper. SF, RG and BR oversaw the implementation of the survey, managed data collection in the field, and contributed to the writing of the paper. RK provided conceptual oversight and contributed to the writing of the papet.

١,

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the support provided by a number of Kashmin national staff contributed to the conduct of this survey but whose names cannot be mentioned for security reasons. We also thank all survey participants for their time. Finally, thanks to Clair Mills for critical comments on earlier drafts of this article.

References

- 1. The Official Site of the Government of Jammu & Kashmir [http://annukashnir.nic.in]
- de Jong K, Ford N, van de Kain S, Lokuge K, Fromm S, van Galen R, Reilley B, Kleber R: Conflict in the Indian Kashmir Valley I: Exposure to Violence. Confl Health 2008, 2(1):10
- de Jong J, Komproe IH, van Ommeren M, El Masri M, Araya M, Khaled N, Put W van der, Somasundram D: Lifetime events and posttraumatic stress disorder in 4 post conflicts settings. JAMA 2001, 86:555-562
- Kleber RJ, Brom D: Coping with trauma. In Theory, prevention and treatment Lisse: Swets & Zelrlinger; 1992
- World Health Organization User's Guide to the Self Reporting Questionnaire. In World Health Organization, Division of Mental Health Geneva: WHO/MNH/PSF/94.8, Division of Mental Health; 1994
- Harding TW, Du Arango MV, Baltarar J, Climent CL, Brahlm HH, Ladrido-Ignacio L, Murthy RS, Wig NN: Mental disorders in primary health care: a study in their frequency and diagnosis in four developing countries. Psychological Medicine 1980, 10:231-241.
- Khhune J, Reddaiah V, Kapune V, Gil J. Characteristics of mental morbidity in a rural primary health centre of Haryana. Indian Journal Psychiatry 1996, 38:137-42.
- Mumford DB, Saeed K, Ahmad I, Akhter S, Mubbashar MH: Stress and psychiatric disorder in rural Punjab. A community survey. Bril J Psychiatry 1997, 170:4718
- 9 Parel V, Araya H, Chowdhary N, King M, Kirkwood H, Nayak S, Simon G, Weiss H: Detecting common mental disorders in primary care in India: a comparison of five screening questionnaires. Psychol Med 2008, 38(2):221-228.
- 10 Son B, Williams P. The extent and nature of depressive phenomena in primary health care: A study in Calcutta, India. Bill J Psychiotry 1987, 151:486-93
- Doilipardo SN, Sundaram R. Psychiatric disorders among medical in-patients in an Indian hospital. But J Psychiatry 1989, 154:504-509.
- Chwastak L, Vonkroff M: Disability in depression and back pain: Evaluation of the WHO Disability Assessment Schedule (WHO DAS II) in a primary care setting. J Clin Epidemiol 2003, 56:507-514.
- Breslau N, Chilcoat HD, Kessler RC, Davis GC. Previous Exposure to Trauma and PTSD Effects of Subsequent Trauma: Results From the Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. AmJ Psychiatry 1999, 156:902-907.
- 14. Groon BL, Goodman LA, Krupnick JL, Corcoran CB, Petty RM, Stockton P, Stern NM. Outcomes of Single Versus Multiple Trauma Exposure in a Screening Sample. J Traumatic Stress 2000, 13(2):271-286.
- Lopes Cardoso B, Bilukha OO, Gotway Crawford CA, Shaikh I, Wolfe MJ, Mirchell I, Gerber MJ, Anderson M. Mental health, social functioning, and disability in postwar Afghanistan. JAMA 2004, 292:575-584
- Jaswal SKP: Gynaecological and mental health of low-income urban women in India. In PhD these London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine; 1995
- American Psychiactic Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of montal disorders. In 4th edition Text revised APA, Washing ton, DC, 2001.
- Margoob MA, Singh A, All Z: A study of suicide attempts in Kashmir valley over the past six months experience from psychiatric outpatient population. Indian Psychiatric Society North Zone 1997.
- Rahman A, Hafeez A. Suicidal feelings run high among mothers in refugee camps: a cross-sectional survey. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 2003, 108:392-393.

- Jolin DJ, Joa HJ, Sox Differences in Trauma and Posttrau matic Stress Disorder: A Quantitative Review of 23 Years of Research. Psychological Bulletin 2006, 132:959-992
- Creamer M, Burgess P, Patrison P: Cognitive processing in posttrauma reactions. Some preliminary findings. Psychol Med 1990, 20:597-604
- 22 Creamer M, Burgess P, Partson PL. Reaction to trauma: a cognitive processing model. J Abnormal Psychology 1992, 101:452-459.
- Pino NW, Meler RF: Gender differences in rape reporting. Sex Roles 1999, 40:979-990
- Kaufman A, Divasto P, Jackson R, Voorhees D, Christy J: Male rape victims: Non institutionalized assault. Am J Psychiatry 1980, 137(3):1-231
- Friedman MJ, Schnurr PP. The relationship between trauma, post-traumatic stress disorder and physical health. In Neuro biological and clinical consequences of stress Edited by: Friedman MJ, Charney DS, Deutch AY, Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, 1995
- 26 Selve H: The stress of Ille. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York; 1956
- 27 van der Kolk IIA, Pelcovitz D, Roth S, Mandel DS, Picharlane AC, Flerman JL. Dissociation, somatization, and affect dysregulation: The complexity of adaptation to trauma. Am J Psychiatry 1996, 153(7 Suppl):83-93.
- McFarlane AC, Yehuda R: Resilience, vulnerability and the course of postfraumatic stress reactions. In Transatt stress The effects of averablening experiences on mind, body, and society Edited by van der Kolk B, McFarlane AC, Weisaeth L. Guildford press, New York; 1996.
- 29: Op den Velde W. Post-traumatic stress in life-span perspective: The Dutch resistance veterans adjustment study. The Nerherlands, Aalsmeer Megaser Design BV, 2001
- 30 Friedman Fij, Schnurz FF. The relationship between trauma, post-traumatic stress disorder and physical health. In Neuro biological and clinical consequences of stress Edited by Friedman MJ, Charney DS, Deurch AY, Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, 1995.
- 31 Green BL, Schnurr PP, Trauma and physical health. Clinical Quarterly 2000, 9:1-5.
- 32 Schmur PP, Jankowski DN. Physical health and post-trainistic stress-disorder: review and synthesis. Semin Chi Neuropsychiatry 1999, 4(4):225-304
- Solomon Z: Combat Stress Reaction: The Enduring Toll of War. New York Plennin Press, 1993
- Schuur PP, Jankowski MK. Physical health and post-traumatic stress-disorder: review and synthesis. Senior Clin Neuropsychiatry 1999, 4(4):295-304.
- 35. McFarlane AC, Yehnda R. Rosillence, vulnerability and the course of posttraumatic stress reactions. In Traumatic stress The effects of averwhelming experiences on mind, body, and society Edited by van der Kolk B, McFarlane AC, Weisaeth L. Guildford press, Now York, 1996.
- 36 Entries L. Strom A: Mortality and morbidity after excessive stress: a follow-up investigation of Norwegian concentration camp survivors. New York: Humanities Press; 1973.
- Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD: Meta-analysis of risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. J Chi Consult Psychol 2000, 68:748-766
- Almer S, Facuqui RA, Anita Aljar A. Psychiatric rating scales in Urdu: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 2007, 7:59.

Publish with the Wred Great H and every scientist can read your work free of charge

BioMed Central will be the nost significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime. Sit Paul Nuive, camer Research UK

Your research papers will be

- · available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- prer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- · yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here. http://www.hummedcentral.com/info/publishing.adv.asp